

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/855,027	05/14/2001	Bernhard Hering	1432.05US02	7980
	590 11/12/2002			
PATTERSON 4800 IDS CEN	I, THUENTE, SKAA	EXAMINER		
80 SOUTH 8TI	H STREET	BELYAVSKYI, MICHAIL A		
MINNEAPOLI	S, MN 55402-2100		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1644	
			DATE MAILED: 11/12/2002	13

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A	pplication No.	Applicant(s)
Office Average	o	9/855,027	HERING ET AL.
Office Action Summary		xaminer	Art Unit
	М	ichail A Belyavskyi	1644
The MAILING DATE of this co	mmunication appear	s on the cover she	et with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COM  - Extensions of time may be available under the p after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of t  - If the period for reply specified above is less that  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the max  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period  - Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.7  Status	IMMUNICATION. provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). this communication. In thirty (30) days, a reply with kimum statutory period will ap for reply will, by statute, caus months affect the milling deta	In no event, however, n in the statutory minimum ply and will expire SIX (6	nay a reply be timely filed  of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
1) Responsive to communicatio	n(s) filed on 23 April	2002	
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .		ction is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in co closed in accordance with the Disposition of Claims	ndition for allowance	except for formal	matters, prosecution as to the merits is 5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-64</u> is/are pe	nding in the applicati	on.	
4a) Of the above claim(s)			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected	l to.		
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-64</u> are subject		r election requirer	nent
Application Papers		· oloollon requirer	nerit.
9)☐ The specification is objected to	by the Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is	s/are: a) accepted c	or b) objected to I	ov the Examiner
Applicant may not request that a	ny objection to the drav	ving(s) be held in al	Devance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a)
11)☐ The proposed drawing correctio			disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings a	are required in reply to	this Office action.	, =====================================
12)☐ The oath or declaration is object	ted to by the Examin	er.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 12	0		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a	claim for foreign prior	rity under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f)
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None	of:	•	3 (4) (4) (5)
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the pri</li> </ol>	ority documents have	e been received.	
2. Certified copies of the pri			Application No
Copies of the certified co- application from the li      See the attached detailed Office	pies of the priority do	cuments have be	en received in this National Stage
14) Acknowledgment is made of a cla	aim for domestic prior	rity under 35 H.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) The translation of the foreig	n language provision	al application has	• been received
15) Acknowledgment is made of a cla	aim for domestic prio	rity under 35 U.S.	C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
ttachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14	ew (PTO-948) 49) Paper No(s)	5) L Notice	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Restriction/Election FAx .
Patent and Trademark Office O-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action St		



Art Unit: 1644

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicant's amendments, filed 04/23/02 (Paper No 12) is acknowledged.

Claims 1, 3-64 are pending.

In view of Applicant's Amendment filed on 04/23/02 (Paper No 12) that was not timely entered, the previous Office Action mailed on 09/30/02 (Paper NO: 10) is vacated. The new Restriction Requirement set forth below. Examiner apologized for any inconveniences.

## Restriction Requirement

- 2. Please Note: In an effort to enhance communication with our customers and reduce processing time, Group 1640 is running a Fax Response Pilot for Written Restriction Requirements. A dedicated Fax machine is in place to receive your responses. The Fax numbers are (703-872-9306 (before final) and 703-872-9307 (after final)). A Fax cover sheet is attached to this Office Action for your convenience.
- 3. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:
- I. Claim 1 and 3-19, drawn to a method of transplanting a donor tissue, classified in Class 424, subclass 577.
- II. Claims 20-26, drawn to a kit for treating a patients, classified in Class 435, subclass 810.
- III. Claims 27-36, drawn to a method of treating a patient with donor cells, classified in Class 424, subclass 93.1.
- IV. Claims 37-39, drawn to a method of treating a patient with tissue taken from the donor classified in Class 424, subclass 572.
- V. Claims 40-44, drawn to a method of transplanting pancreatic islet cells, classified in Class 424, subclass 93.7.
- VI. Claims 45-47, drawn to a medically modified non-human animal, classified in Class 800, subclass 8.





Art Unit: 1644

VII. Claims 48-55 drawn to a method of treating a cancerous conditions, classified in Class 424, subclass 520.

VIII. Claims 56-64 drawn to a method of treating an immune system, classified in Class 424, subclass 577.

- 4. Groups I, III-V, and VII-VIII are different methods. These invention are different with respect to ingredients, method steps, and endpoints; therefore, each method is patentably distinct.
- 5. Groups II and VI are different products. A kit for treating a patients and medically modified non-human animal differ with respect to their structures and physicochemical properties; therefore each product is patentably distinct.
- 6. These inventions are distinct for the reasons given above. In addition, they have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by different classification and/or recognized divergent subject matter. Further, even though in some cases the classification is shared, a different field of search would be required based upon the structurally distinct products recited and the various methods of use comprising distinct method steps. Moreover, a prior art search also requires a literature search. It is an undue burden for the examiner to search more than one invention. Therefore restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

## **Species Election**

Applicant is further required under 35 USC 121 (1) to elect a single disclosed species to which the claims would be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable and (2) to list all claims readable thereon including those subsequently added.

7. If Group I is elected, applicant is required to elect a specific method of transplanting a donor tissue, wherein: A) the conditional treatment is accomplished by administering a specific drug recited in Claims 8 and 9; B) administering the immune blockade treatment is accomplished by administering a specific drug recited in Claim 10.

These species are distinct because a specific method of transplanting a donor tissue wherein: A) the conditional treatment is accomplished by administering a specific drug recited in Claims 8 and 9; B) administering the immune blockade treatment is accomplished by administering a specific drug recited in Claim 10 differ with respect to the specific drug; thus each specific



Art Unit: 1644

method employing a specific drug represents patentably distinct subject matter. Furthermore, the examination of a specific method of transplanting a donor tissue wherein: A) the conditional treatment is accomplished by administering a specific drug recited in Claims 8 and 9; B) administering the immune blockade treatment is accomplished by administering a specific drug recited in Claim 10 would require different searches in the scientific literature.

8. If Group II is elected, applicant is required to elect a specific kit for treating a patien, wherein: A) specific conditioning treatment drug is chosen from the group recited in Claim 21; B) specific immune blockage drug is chosen from the group recited in Claim 22;

These species are distinct because their structure, physicochemical properties and mode of action are different. The examination of species would require different searches in the scientific literature.

9. If Group III is elected, applicant is required to elect a specific method of treating a patient, wherein: A) administering the conditional treatment is performed with specific drug selected from a group recited in Claim 30; B) administering the immune blockade treatment is accomplished by administering a specific drug recited in Claim 31.

These species are distinct because a specific method of treating a patient, wherein: A) administering the conditional treatment is performed with specific drug selected from a group recited in Claim 30; B) administering the immune blockade treatment is accomplished by administering a specific drug recited in Claim 31 differ with respect to the specific drug; thus each specific method employing a specific drug represents patentably distinct subject matter. Furthermore, the examination of a specific method of treating a patient, wherein: A) administering the conditional treatment is performed with specific drug selected from a group recited in Claim 30; B) administering the immune blockade treatment is accomplished by administering a specific drug recited in Claim 31 would require different searches in the scientific literature.

10. If Group IV is elected, applicant is required to elect a specific method of treating a patient, wherein administering the immune blockade treatment is accomplished by administering a specific drug recited in Claim 39.

These species are distinct because a specific method of treating a patient, wherein administering the immune blockade treatment is accomplished by administering a specific drug recited in Claim 39 differ with respect to the specific drug; thus each specific method employing a specific drug represents patentably distinct subject matter. Furthermore, the examination of a specific method of treating a patient, wherein administering the immune blockade treatment is accomplished by administering a specific drug recited in Claim 39 would require different searches in the scientific literature.



Art Unit: 1644

11. If Groups VI is elected, applicant is required to elect a specific medically modified non-human animal, wherein specific mildly myeloablative conditioning treatment comprises fludarabine or cyclophosphamide and wherein the animal is selected from the group recited in Claim 47.

These species are distinct because their structure, physicochemical properties and mode of action are different. The examination of species would require different searches in the scientific literature.

12. If Group VII is elected, applicant is required to elect a specific method of treating a cancerous conditions, wherein: A) the conditional treatment includes administering a specific drug recited in Claims 51 and 52; B) administering the immune blockade treatment includes administering a specific drug recited in Claim 53.

These species are distinct because a specific method of treating a cancerous conditions, wherein: A) the conditional treatment includes administering a specific drug recited in Claims 51 and 52; B) administering the immune blockade treatment includes administering a specific drug recited in Claim 53 differ with respect to the specific drug; thus each specific method employing a specific drug represents patentably distinct subject matter. Furthermore, the examination of a specific method of treating a cancerous conditions, wherein: A) the conditional treatment includes administering a specific drug recited in Claims 51 and 52; B) administering the immune blockade treatment includes administering a specific drug recited in Claim 53 would require different searches in the scientific literature.

13. If Group VIII is elected, applicant is required to elect a specific method of treating a cancerous conditions, wherein: A) the conditional treatment includes administering a specific drug recited in Claims 61 and 62; B) administering the immune blockade treatment includes administering a specific drug recited in Claim 63.

These species are distinct because a specific method of treating an immune system, wherein: A) the conditional treatment includes administering a specific drug recited in Claims 61 and 62; B) administering the immune blockade treatment includes administering a specific drug recited in Claim 63 differ with respect to the specific drug; thus each specific method employing a specific drug represents patentably distinct subject matter. Furthermore, the examination of a specific method of treating a cancerous conditions, wherein: A) the conditional treatment includes administering a specific drug recited in Claims 61 and 62; B) administering the immune blockade treatment includes administering a specific drug recited in Claim 63 would require different searches in the scientific literature.



Art Unit: 1644

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of the other invention.

- 14. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).
- 15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michail Belyavskyi whose telephone number is (703) 308-4232. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 9:00 AM to 5:30 PM. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on (703) 308-3973. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center 1600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technology Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CM1 Fax Center telephone number is (703) 305-3014.

Michail Belyavskyi, Ph.D. Patent Examiner Technology Center 1600 November 5, 2002

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600